For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NO. C 05-01114 JW

In re Acacia Media Technologies Corp.,

ORDER ADOPTING THE PARTIES' STIPULATION RE: BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR § 112 MOTIONS WITH MODIFICATION

On May 9, 2008, the Court conducted a Case Management Conference regarding the Defendants' proposed § 112 motions and related briefing schedule. Pursuant to the Court's direction, the parties have submitted for the Court's review their proposed schedule. The Court adopts the parties' Stipulation as follows:

- (1) On or before **May 16, 2008**, Acacia will notify the Defendants as to which, if any, additional motions it will stipulate;
- (2) On or before **July 11, 2008**, Defendants shall file their dispositive motions together with any supporting declaration testimony on the disputed issues identified in the Defendants' letters;
- (3) On or before **October 24, 2008**, Acacia shall file its oppositions to the Defendants' dispositive motions together with any supporting declaration testimony; and
- (4) On or before **January 9, 2009**, the Defendants shall file their reply briefs to the dispositive motions together with any supporting declaration testimony.

Upon completion of the briefs, the moving party shall compile a three ring binder containing (1) the motion and any supporting memorandum of law; (2) the opposition memorandum; (3) any reply memorandum; and (4) any exhibits in support or opposition to the motion, which shall be clearly labeled. At the beginning of each binder the moving party shall include, as appropriate, a Chart A or B, in the format described below; each statement shall be supported by appropriate citations to the motion papers and or exhibits.

Chart A - Summary of Infringement Issues

Patent Claim/Elements	Stipulated Construction/Court Construction	Accused Product	Defense Asserted
'000 Patent, Claim 1			
an apparatus comprising	apparatus means: "a device which"	Riverside Model 2	
1. a handle	"handle" means a part held by the human hand	Riverside Model 2	the product lacks a handle

Chart B - Summary of Invalidity Issues

Title of Motion	Patent	Claim No.	Basis of challenge	Summary of argument in support of motion	Summary of argument in opposition to motion	Comments
Partial Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity	000°	Claim 3	Lack of Disclosure of Best Mode	The specification states that the inventor was aware [See '000 Patent, Col 3:5-10]	The reference is to a different invention.	This matter is controlled by the Court's claim construction of the following terms:

These binders are not substitutes for filing the motions and related papers via ECF.

//

Case 5:05-cv-01114-JW Document 282 Filed 05/27/08 Page 3 of 4

Three copies of the binders, marked, "Chambers' Copies," shall be delivered to the Court (by
way of the Clerk's Office) on or before January 16, 2009 before 3 p.m. Upon review, the Court
will set as many hearings as necessary to adjudicate Defendants' motions.
In addition, at the Case Management Conference, the Court instructed Plaintiff to resubmit
the two Covenants Not to Sue (docket item nos. 265, 276) with the Court's recommended edits for
signature. To date, no amended covenants have been filed. Plaintiff shall refile the covenants for
approval within ten (10) days from the date of this Order.
F.

JAMES WARE
United States District Judge Dated: May 27, 2008

28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 Alan P. Block blocka@hbdlawyers.com; Alfredo A. Bismonte abismonte@beckross.com Annamarie A. Daley aadaley@rkmc.com; Asim M. Bhansali amb@kvn.com 3 Benjamin Hershkowitz bhershkowitz@goodwinprocter.com; Bobby T. Shih bshih@mount.com Bradford P. Lyerla blyerla@marshallip.com; Daniel Reisner dreisner@kayescholer.com 4 Daniel E. Jackson djackson@kvn.com; Daralyn J. Durie ddurie@kvn.com David Benyacar@kayescholer.com; David A. York david.york@lw.com 5 David J. Silbert dis@kvn.com; David P. Pearson dpearson@winthrop.com Emmett J. McMahon ejmcmahon@rkmc.com; Harold J. McElhinny@mofo.com J. Timothy Nardell EfilingJTN@cpdb.com; James Michael Slominski islominski@hh.com Jan J. Klohonatz iklohonatz@tcolaw.com; Jason A. Crotty jcrotty@mofo.com 7 Jeffrey D. Sullivan jeffrey.sullivan@bakerbotts.com; Jeffrey H. Dean jdean@marshallip.com Jeremy Michael Duggan jduggan@beckross.com; Jon-Thomas Bloch jbloch@marshallip.com 8 Jonathan E. Singer singer@fr.com; Juanita R. Brooks brooks@fr.com Kevin D. Hogg khogg@marshallip.com; Kevin G. McBride kgmcbride@jonesday.com 9 Kevin I. Shenkman shenkmank@hbdlawyers.com; Maria K. Nelson mknelson@jonesday.com Marsha Ellen Mullin memullin@jonesday.com; Matthew I. Kreeger mkreeger@mofo.com 10 Michael J. McNamara michael.mcnamara@bakerbotts.com Mitchell D. Lukin mitch.lukin@bakerbotts.com; Morgan William Tovey mtovey@reedsmith.com 11 Patrick J. Whalen pwhalen@spencerfane.com; Paul A. Friedman@amfo.com Rachel Krevans rkrevans@mofo.com; Richard R. Patch rrp@cpdb.com 12 Roderick G. Dorman dormanr@hbdlawyers.com; Sean David Garrison @garrison@lrlaw.com Stephen E. Taylor staylor@tcolaw.com; Stephen P. Safranski spsafranski@rkmc.com Todd Glen Miller miller@fr.com; Todd R. Tucker ttucker@rennerotto.com 13 Victor de Gyarfas vdegyarfas@foley.com; Victor George Savikas vgsavikas@jonesday.com William J. Robinson wrobinson@foley.com; William R. Overend woverend@reedsmith.com 14 William R. Woodford woodford@fr.com 15 Dated: May 27, 2008 16 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 17 By: /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia 18 **Courtroom Deputy** 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27